BEFORE THE TENNESSEE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

IN RE:	Daryl Lansky)
	Dist. D02, Block 13, Parcel 00027) Shelby County
	Residential Property)
	Tax Year 2007	

EXPEDITED INITIAL DECISION AND ORDER

Statement of the Case

The subject property is presently valued as follows:

LAND VALUE	IMPROVEMENT VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	ASSESSMENT
\$122,200	\$ -0-	\$122,200	\$30,550

An appeal has been filed on behalf of the property owner with the State Board of Equalization. The undersigned administrative judge conducted a hearing in this matter on January 9, 2008 in Memphis, Tennessee. In attendance at the hearing were Daryl Lansky, the appellant, and Shelby County Property Assessor's representatives John Zelinka, Esq. and Teri Brandon.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The administrative judge finds that this is an appropriate case to expedite disposition of the appeal (as authorized by Tenn. Code Ann. §67-5-1505(d)) by dispensing with detailed findings.

I. Jurisdiction

The first issue before the administrative judge concerns jurisdiction. This issue arises from the fact the disputed appraisal was not appealed to the Shelby County Board of Equalization.

The administrative judge finds the assessor conceded that the assessment change notice was not correctly addressed. Accordingly, the administrative judge finds the taxpayer properly filed a direct appeal with the State Board of Equalization after receiving his tax bill. See Tenn. Code Ann. § 67-5-1412(e).

II. Value

The basis of valuation as stated in Tennessee Code Annotated §67-5-601(a) is that "[t]he value of all property shall be ascertained from the evidence of its sound, intrinsic and immediate value, for purposes of sale between a willing seller and a willing buyer without consideration of speculative values . . ." For the reasons enumerated at the hearing, the administrative judge finds that subject property should be valued at \$15,000 as stipulated by the parties.

ORDER

It is therefore ORDERED that the following value and assessment be adopted for tax year 2007:

LAND VALUE IMPROVEMENT VALUE TOTAL VALUE ASSESSMENT \$15,000 \$-0- \$15,000 \$3,750

Pursuant to the Uniform Administrative Procedures Act, Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 4-5-301—325, Tenn. Code Ann. § 67-5-1501, and the Rules of Contested Case Procedure of the State Board of Equalization, the parties are advised of the following remedies:

- 1. A party may appeal this decision and order to the Assessment Appeals Commission pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 67-5-1501 and Rule 0600-1-.12 of the Contested Case Procedures of the State Board of Equalization. Tennessee Code Annotated § 67-5-1501(c) provides that an appeal "must be filed within thirty (30) days from the date the initial decision is sent." Rule 0600-1-.12 of the Contested Case Procedures of the State Board of Equalization provides that the appeal be filed with the Executive Secretary of the State Board and that the appeal "identify the allegedly erroneous finding(s) of fact and/or conclusion(s) of law in the initial order"; or
- 2. A party may petition for reconsideration of this decision and order pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-5-317 within fifteen (15) days of the entry of the order. The petition for reconsideration must state the specific grounds upon which relief is requested. The filing of a petition for reconsideration is not a prerequisite for seeking administrative or judicial review; or
- 3. A party may petition for a stay of effectiveness of this decision and order pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-5-316 within seven (7) days of the entry of the order.

This order does not become final until an official certificate is issued by the Assessment Appeals Commission. Official certificates are normally issued seventy-five (75) days after the entry of the initial decision and order if no party has appealed.

ENTERED this 16th day of January, 2008.

MARK J. MINSKY ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF STATE

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION

c: Mr. Daryl Lansky
Tameaka Stanton-Riley, Appeals Manager